

## Background

Use of subsurface drip irrigation has rapidly increased in processing tomato production and provides numerous benefits including lower water use, reduced weed pressure, and root zone fertigation in conventional systems.

Fertility sources in organic fields, however, rely on microbes to release nutrients from compost and cover crops, making precision management with subsurface drip irrigation more difficult.

Also, with only a small volume of soil wetted by drip emitters, limited moisture in surface and edge soils may affect other beneficial activities performed by microbes, such as soil organic matter and and aggregate formation.

## Could the small wetting zone from subsurface drip irrigation have implications for soil health?

## Treatments



Furrow-irrigated organic

Soil aggregation

Organic Furrow

100

75

50

25

0.

Percent of soil by mass



Drip-irrigated organic

Organic Drip Conventional Drip

Organic treatments had more stable aggregation than conventional. (Data from July, 0-6" depth, middle distance)



Drip-irrigated conventional

Large macroaggregates

2-8 mm

Small macroaggregates 0.25-2 mm

Microaggregates

53 µm-0.25 mm

Silt and clay

< 53 µm







Drip irrigation reduced microbial biomass at the bed edge in surface soils, and at depth (6-12") is changing which microbial groups are able to survive. (Data from August)

Yield and biomass а Yields in Organic Drip were 45 Tomato fruit yield (t/acre) 16% lower than Conv. Drip and 13.5% lower than 40 Organic Furrow. 35 Organic Drip had higher Plant biomass (dry t/acre) b vine weights, indicating that there may be a mistiming of nutrient availability. Organic Furrow Organic Drip Conventional Drip

Drip irrigation may reduce aggregate stability in surface soils.



## Take-Home Messages:

- Dry areas of the bed in drip irrigated treatments showed reduced microbial biomass, and changes in microbial groups, which could affect soil organic matter decomposition and formation.
- Organic drip treatments had the lowest yields, perhaps due to mistiming of nutrient availability.
- However, water use and weed pressure were substantially higher with furrow irrigation.
- There may be negative trade-offs of drip irrigation for soil health.

Contributors: Deirdre Griffin\*, Daoyuan Wang, Amélie Gaudin, Jennifer Schmidt, Sanjai Parikh, Kate Scow